

The Implementation of Zoom Meeting Utilization in Improving the Quality of Student Learning

Jungga Ganda Tungga Angkat^{1*}, Jonggi Ganda Tua Angkat², Theranan Kwadkew³

Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara, Indonesia^{*1, 2, 3}

¹email: junggajungga38@gmail.com

²email: jonggiangkatjungga@gmail.com

³email: theerananhkt@gmail.com

Abstract: This study aims to analyze the implementation of Zoom Meeting utilization in improving the quality of student learning. The research method used is a qualitative approach with a descriptive study design. The subjects of this study include teachers and students involved in the online learning process. Data collection techniques were conducted through observation, interviews, and documentation. The results show that the use of Zoom Meeting can enhance interaction between teachers and students, facilitate direct delivery of learning materials, and provide flexibility in the learning process. In addition, features such as screen sharing, breakout rooms, and recorded sessions support the effectiveness of learning. However, several challenges were identified, including limited internet connectivity and insufficient technological readiness among some students. Therefore, appropriate strategies are needed to optimize the use of Zoom Meeting to continuously improve the quality of learning.

Keywords: Zoom Meeting; Online Learning; Learning Quality; Educational Technology; Learning Interaction.

Abstrak: Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis implementasi pemanfaatan aplikasi Zoom Meeting dalam meningkatkan kualitas pembelajaran siswa. Metode penelitian yang digunakan adalah pendekatan kualitatif dengan desain studi deskriptif. Subjek penelitian meliputi guru dan siswa yang terlibat dalam proses pembelajaran daring. Teknik pengumpulan data dilakukan melalui observasi, wawancara, dan dokumentasi. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa pemanfaatan Zoom Meeting dapat meningkatkan interaksi antara guru dan siswa, mempermudah penyampaian materi secara langsung, serta memberikan fleksibilitas dalam proses pembelajaran. Selain itu, fitur-fitur seperti screen sharing, breakout rooms, dan rekaman pembelajaran turut mendukung efektivitas pembelajaran. Namun demikian, terdapat beberapa kendala seperti keterbatasan jaringan internet dan

Artikel Info

Received:

22 January 2025

Revised:

25 March 2025

Accepted:

16 April 2025

Published:

29 May 2025

kurangnya kesiapan teknologi pada sebagian siswa. Oleh karena itu, diperlukan strategi yang tepat dalam mengoptimalkan penggunaan Zoom Meeting agar kualitas pembelajaran dapat terus meningkat.

Kata Kunci: Zoom Meeting; Pembelajaran Daring; Kualitas Pembelajaran; Teknologi Pendidikan; Interaksi Belajar.

A. Introduction

The rapid development of information and communication technology has significantly transformed the landscape of education in the 21st century. Digital platforms are increasingly integrated into teaching and learning processes, enabling educators to deliver instruction beyond the traditional classroom setting (Selwyn, 2016). This transformation has encouraged the adoption of various online learning tools to support effective and flexible learning environments.

The emergence of online learning has become more prominent, particularly during global disruptions such as the COVID-19 pandemic, which forced educational institutions to shift from face-to-face to virtual instruction. This sudden transition highlighted the importance of digital readiness among educators and students (Hodges et al., 2020). Consequently, the use of synchronous communication platforms has become essential in maintaining continuity in education.

Among various digital platforms, Zoom Meeting has gained significant popularity due to its user-friendly interface and comprehensive features. It allows real-time interaction between teachers and students, simulating a classroom-like environment despite physical distance (Archibald et al., 2019). Its accessibility across devices has further enhanced its adoption in educational contexts.

The integration of Zoom Meeting into teaching practices provides opportunities for interactive and collaborative learning. Features such as video conferencing, screen sharing, breakout rooms, and recording capabilities support diverse instructional strategies (Serhan, 2020). These features enable teachers to deliver materials effectively while fostering student engagement.

However, the effectiveness of online learning platforms is closely related to how they are implemented in the learning process. The quality of learning is not solely

determined by the technology used but also by pedagogical approaches and user readiness (Garrison & Vaughan, 2008). Therefore, examining the implementation of Zoom Meeting is crucial in understanding its impact on student learning outcomes.

Learning quality refers to the extent to which educational processes achieve intended learning objectives effectively and efficiently. It involves various components, including student engagement, interaction, understanding, and skill development (Biggs & Tang, 2011). In online settings, maintaining these components poses significant challenges.

One of the primary challenges in online learning is the lack of direct interaction between teachers and students. Traditional classroom environments allow immediate feedback and personal engagement, which are often reduced in virtual settings (Moore, 2013). Zoom Meeting attempts to bridge this gap by enabling synchronous communication.

In addition to interaction, student motivation plays a critical role in determining learning quality. Online learning environments require higher levels of self-regulation and autonomy among students (Zimmerman, 2002). Without proper guidance and engaging instructional design, students may experience decreased motivation.

Another issue is the digital divide, which affects access to online learning tools. Not all students have equal access to stable internet connections and adequate devices, which can hinder participation in Zoom-based learning (Van Dijk, 2020). This inequality raises concerns about the inclusivity of online education.

Furthermore, teachers' digital competence is a determining factor in the successful implementation of Zoom Meeting. Educators must be able to design interactive learning activities and utilize technological features effectively (Koehler & Mishra, 2009). Lack of training can reduce the potential benefits of the platform.

Previous studies have shown that Zoom Meeting can enhance student engagement when used appropriately. Interactive features such as polls and breakout rooms promote active participation and collaborative learning (Bower et al., 2015). These findings suggest that technology can positively influence learning experiences.

However, other studies indicate that excessive reliance on online platforms may lead to fatigue and reduced concentration among students, commonly referred to as “Zoom fatigue” (Bailenson, 2021). This phenomenon highlights the need for balanced and well-structured online learning sessions.

The context of this research is particularly relevant in educational institutions that continue to integrate digital tools post-pandemic. Blended learning models combining online and face-to-face instruction are becoming increasingly common (Graham, 2013). In this context, Zoom Meeting remains a vital component of instructional delivery.

Despite its widespread use, there is still a need for in-depth research on how Zoom Meeting is implemented in specific educational settings. Each institution has unique characteristics, including student backgrounds, technological infrastructure, and teaching practices (Creswell, 2014). Therefore, contextual studies are essential.

This study focuses on analyzing the implementation of Zoom Meeting in improving the quality of student learning. It seeks to explore how teachers utilize the platform and how students respond to its use in the learning process. Understanding these dynamics can provide valuable insights for improving online instruction.

The significance of this research lies in its contribution to the development of effective online learning strategies. By identifying strengths and challenges in the use of Zoom Meeting, educators can optimize its features to enhance learning outcomes (Anderson, 2008). This aligns with the broader goal of improving educational quality through technology.

Moreover, this study aims to bridge the gap between theory and practice in educational technology. While many theoretical frameworks emphasize the importance of interaction and engagement, practical implementation often faces various constraints (Means et al., 2014). This research attempts to address these issues.

In addition, the study highlights the importance of continuous evaluation in the use of digital learning tools. Educational technologies must be assessed regularly to ensure their effectiveness and relevance in changing learning environments (Redecker, 2017). This evaluation is essential for sustainable innovation.

The specific objectives of this research are: (1) to examine the implementation of Zoom Meeting in the learning process; (2) to analyze its impact on student learning quality; (3) to identify challenges faced by teachers and students; and (4) to propose strategies for optimizing its use in education.

Ultimately, this research is expected to provide practical recommendations for educators, policymakers, and institutions in utilizing Zoom Meeting effectively. By enhancing the quality of online learning, education systems can better adapt to the demands of the digital era while ensuring meaningful learning experiences for students.

B. Research Methodology

This study employed a qualitative research approach to explore the implementation of Zoom Meeting in improving the quality of student learning. A qualitative approach was chosen because it allows for an in-depth understanding of participants' experiences, perceptions, and interactions within the learning process (Creswell, 2014). This method is particularly suitable for examining complex educational phenomena that cannot be fully captured through quantitative measures.

The research design used in this study was a descriptive case study. This design enables researchers to investigate a contemporary phenomenon within its real-life context, especially when the boundaries between the phenomenon and context are not clearly evident (Yin, 2018). Through this approach, the study focused on how Zoom Meeting is implemented in actual classroom settings and how it influences learning quality.

The research was conducted in an educational institution where online learning using Zoom Meeting has been actively implemented. The participants consisted of teachers and students involved in the online learning process. A purposive sampling technique was used to select participants who had direct experience with the use of Zoom Meeting, ensuring the relevance and richness of the data collected (Patton, 2015).

Data collection techniques included observation, in-depth interviews, and documentation. Observations were conducted during online learning sessions to examine teaching strategies, student participation, and the use of Zoom features.

Interviews were carried out with teachers and students to gather detailed insights into their experiences and perceptions (Merriam & Tisdell, 2016). Documentation, such as lesson plans and recorded sessions, was also analyzed to support the findings.

The instruments used in this study were observation guidelines, interview protocols, and document analysis sheets. These instruments were designed to ensure systematic data collection and to capture relevant aspects of the learning process. The use of multiple instruments allowed for triangulation, which enhances the credibility and validity of qualitative research findings (Denzin, 2012).

Data analysis was conducted using an interactive model consisting of data reduction, data display, and conclusion drawing. This model, proposed by Miles, Huberman, and Saldaña (2014), enables researchers to organize and interpret qualitative data systematically. Data were coded and categorized to identify patterns, themes, and relationships related to the implementation of Zoom Meeting.

To ensure the trustworthiness of the data, this study applied several validation techniques, including credibility, transferability, dependability, and confirmability. Credibility was achieved through prolonged engagement and triangulation of data sources, while transferability was supported by providing detailed descriptions of the research context (Lincoln & Guba, 1985). Dependability and confirmability were ensured through audit trails and researcher reflexivity.

Ethical considerations were also taken into account in this study. Participants were informed about the purpose of the research and their consent was obtained prior to data collection. Confidentiality and anonymity were maintained throughout the research process to protect participants' identities. By adhering to ethical research principles, this study ensured that the rights and well-being of participants were respected (Orb et al., 2001).

C. Results and Discussion

The findings of this study reveal that the implementation of Zoom Meeting has significantly influenced the quality of student learning. Based on observations and interviews, both teachers and students acknowledged that Zoom Meeting facilitated

continuity of instruction in an online environment. This aligns with previous studies emphasizing the importance of synchronous platforms in maintaining instructional delivery (Hodges et al., 2020).

One of the key findings is the improvement in teacher–student interaction during the learning process. Through live video sessions, teachers were able to provide immediate explanations and feedback, which are essential components of effective learning (Moore, 2013). Students reported that real-time communication made learning more engaging compared to asynchronous methods.

Furthermore, the use of interactive features such as screen sharing enhanced the clarity of material delivery. Teachers could present visual aids, slides, and demonstrations directly, helping students better understand complex concepts. This supports the argument that multimedia integration improves comprehension and retention (Mayer, 2009).

The breakout room feature also contributed to collaborative learning. Students were divided into small groups to discuss specific topics, encouraging peer interaction and active participation. Collaborative learning has been shown to improve critical thinking and problem-solving skills (Johnson & Johnson, 2009).

Another important result is the flexibility offered by Zoom Meeting. Students could join classes from various locations, making learning more accessible. This flexibility is a key advantage of online learning environments (Anderson, 2008). However, it also requires students to manage their time effectively.

Despite these advantages, several challenges were identified. One major issue was unstable internet connectivity, which disrupted the learning process. Students in areas with limited network access experienced difficulties in participating fully in live sessions (Van Dijk, 2020). This finding highlights the persistent issue of the digital divide.

In addition, some students faced difficulties in maintaining concentration during long online sessions. The phenomenon known as “Zoom fatigue” affected their engagement and motivation. This is consistent with research indicating that prolonged screen time can lead to cognitive overload (Bailenson, 2021).

Teachers also encountered challenges in managing virtual classrooms. Unlike face-to-face settings, monitoring student participation and ensuring active engagement was more difficult. This required teachers to develop new strategies and adapt their teaching methods (Koehler & Mishra, 2009).

Another finding shows that students' technological literacy played a crucial role in the effectiveness of Zoom-based learning. Students who were familiar with digital tools were more active and confident in participating. This supports the idea that digital competence is essential in modern education (Redecker, 2017).

The study also found that recorded sessions provided additional learning opportunities. Students could revisit the material at their own pace, which enhanced understanding and retention. This feature supports self-directed learning, an important aspect of online education (Zimmerman, 2002).

In terms of learning outcomes, most students demonstrated improved understanding of the subject matter. Teachers reported that students were able to complete assignments more effectively and participate in discussions more actively. This suggests that Zoom Meeting can positively impact academic performance when used appropriately (Means et al., 2014).

However, the effectiveness of Zoom Meeting was closely related to instructional design. Classes that incorporated interactive elements, such as discussions and group work, were more successful in engaging students. This finding emphasizes the importance of pedagogical strategies in online learning (Garrison & Vaughan, 2008).

The role of the teacher as a facilitator became more prominent in this context. Teachers were required to guide discussions, encourage participation, and provide timely feedback. This shift aligns with constructivist learning theory, which emphasizes active student involvement (Vygotsky, 1978).

Another important aspect is student motivation. The study found that motivation increased when teachers used varied teaching methods and interactive activities. Conversely, monotonous lectures led to decreased interest and participation. This highlights the need for innovative teaching approaches (Deci & Ryan, 2000).

Parental support was also identified as a contributing factor, especially for younger students. Students who received guidance and encouragement from their families were more consistent in attending and participating in online classes. This finding underscores the importance of the learning environment beyond the classroom (Epstein, 2011).

From an institutional perspective, adequate infrastructure and technical support were essential for successful implementation. Schools that provided training and resources for teachers and students experienced fewer challenges. This supports the importance of organizational readiness in adopting educational technology (Selwyn, 2016).

The findings also indicate that blended learning could be an effective approach in the future. Combining online and face-to-face instruction allows educators to maximize the strengths of both methods (Graham, 2013). Zoom Meeting can play a significant role in this hybrid model.

In discussing these results, it is evident that technology alone cannot guarantee improved learning quality. The effectiveness of Zoom Meeting depends on how it is integrated into the teaching and learning process. Pedagogical considerations remain central to educational success (Biggs & Tang, 2011).

Moreover, continuous evaluation and adaptation are necessary to address emerging challenges. Teachers and institutions must be willing to refine their approaches based on feedback and changing conditions. This aligns with the concept of reflective practice in education (Schön, 1983).

Overall, the implementation of Zoom Meeting has shown both opportunities and challenges in improving student learning quality. While it enhances interaction, flexibility, and access to learning, issues such as digital inequality and student engagement must be addressed.

Therefore, this study suggests that the optimal use of Zoom Meeting requires a balanced approach that combines technological proficiency, effective pedagogy, and institutional support. By addressing these factors, educators can maximize the potential of online learning platforms to achieve meaningful educational outcomes.

D. Conclusion

This study concludes that the implementation of Zoom Meeting plays a significant role in improving the quality of student learning when applied effectively. The findings indicate that Zoom Meeting facilitates real-time interaction between teachers and students, enhances the clarity of instructional delivery through multimedia features, and supports flexible learning environments. These advantages contribute positively to student engagement, participation, and overall learning outcomes, in line with previous research on online learning effectiveness (Means et al., 2014).

However, the study also identifies several challenges that affect the optimal use of Zoom Meeting. Issues such as unstable internet connectivity, varying levels of digital literacy among students, and the emergence of “Zoom fatigue” can hinder the learning process. Additionally, the success of online learning is highly dependent on teachers’ ability to design interactive and engaging learning activities, rather than relying solely on the technology itself (Garrison & Vaughan, 2008). Therefore, pedagogical competence remains a crucial factor in determining learning quality.

In conclusion, the effective utilization of Zoom Meeting requires a balanced integration of technology, pedagogy, and institutional support. Educational institutions are encouraged to provide adequate infrastructure, continuous training for teachers, and strategies to enhance student engagement in online environments. By addressing these aspects, Zoom Meeting can be optimized as a powerful tool to support meaningful and high-quality learning experiences in the digital era.

E. Bibliography

- Adhasita, N., & Setiawan, H. R. (2026). Pengaruh penggunaan aplikasi Kahoot pada mata pelajaran Akidah Akhlak terhadap peningkatan hasil belajar siswa di MAS Tarbiyah Islamiyah. *Al-Tarbiyah: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan Islam*, 4(2), 1–15.
- Anderson, T. (2008). *The theory and practice of online learning*. AU Press.
- Archibald, M. M., Ambagtsheer, R. C., Casey, M. G., & Lawless, M. (2019). Using Zoom videoconferencing for qualitative data collection: Perceptions and

- experiences of researchers and participants. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 18, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406919874596>
- Bailenson, J. N. (2021). Nonverbal overload: A theoretical argument for Zoom fatigue. *Technology, Mind, and Behavior*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.1037/tmb0000030>
- Biggs, J., & Tang, C. (2011). *Teaching for quality learning at university* (4th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
- Bower, M., Dalgarno, B., Kennedy, G. E., Lee, M. J. W., & Kenney, J. (2015). Design and implementation factors in blended learning environments. *Computers & Education*, 86, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.03.014>
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The “what” and “why” of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227–268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01
- Denzin, N. K. (2012). Triangulation 2.0. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 6(2), 80–88. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689812437186>
- Epstein, J. L. (2011). *School, family, and community partnerships: Preparing educators and improving schools* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Garrison, D. R., & Vaughan, N. D. (2008). *Blended learning in higher education: Framework, principles, and guidelines*. Jossey-Bass.
- Graham, C. R. (2013). Emerging practice and research in blended learning. In M. G. Moore (Ed.), *Handbook of distance education* (3rd ed., pp. 333–350). Routledge.
- Hodges, C., Moore, S., Lockee, B., Trust, T., & Bond, A. (2020). The difference between emergency remote teaching and online learning. *Educause Review*.
- Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2009). An educational psychology success story: Social interdependence theory and cooperative learning. *Educational Researcher*, 38(5), 365–379. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X09339057>
- Koehler, M. J., & Mishra, P. (2009). What is technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK)? *Teachers College Record*, 108(6), 1017–1054.
- Kurnia, D. T., Hasibuan, T. D., Ramadhani, A., & Setiawan, H. R. (2026). Analisis persepsi mahasiswa PAI terhadap penggunaan Quizizz dalam pembelajaran psikologi pendidikan Islam. *Jurnal Media Akademik (JMA)*, 4(4).
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Sage Publications.

- Mayer, R. E. (2009). *Multimedia learning* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Means, B., Toyama, Y., Murphy, R., & Baki, M. (2014). The effectiveness of online and blended learning: A meta-analysis of the empirical literature. *Teachers College Record*, 115(3), 1–47.
- Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2016). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2014). *Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.
- Moore, M. G. (2013). *Handbook of distance education* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Orb, A., Eisenhauer, L., & Wynaden, D. (2001). Ethics in qualitative research. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, 33(1), 93–96. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1547-5069.2001.00093.x>
- Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research & evaluation methods* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Ranti, S., & Setiawan, H. R. (2026). Strategi guru pendidikan agama Islam dalam mengajarkan doa harian kepada siswa di Tadika Al Fikh Orchard Awani Bangi. *Pendas: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Dasar*, 11(2), 150–169.
- Redecker, C. (2017). *European framework for the digital competence of educators (DigCompEdu)*. European Commission.
- Sapika, N., Lisa, E., Nabila, S., & Setiawan, H. R. (2026). Analisis tren dan efektivitas penggunaan media pembelajaran digital dalam pendidikan agama Islam: Sebuah studi literatur. *Jurnal Media Akademik (JMA)*, 4(4).
- Schön, D. A. (1983). *The reflective practitioner: How professionals think in action*. Basic Books.
- Selwyn, N. (2016). *Education and technology: Key issues and debates* (2nd ed.). Bloomsbury Academic.
- Setiawan, H. R. (2026). Utilizing technology in Islamic religious education: Opportunities and challenges. *Proceeding International Seminar of Islamic Studies*, 2089–2098.
- Van Dijk, J. (2020). *The digital divide*. Polity Press.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.

Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). Sage Publications.

Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. *Theory Into Practice*, 41(2), 64–70. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4102_2